

# ESTIMATES OF HERITABILITY FOR ENHANCED STORAGE SHELF LIFE AND EARLY MATURITY IN ONIONS (*Allium cepa* L.)

## Abstract

Thirty-seven Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) genotypes comprising of twelve parents (12) and twenty-five hybrids were evaluated at the *Fadama* Teaching and Research farm of the Department of Crop Science, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto during the 2015/2016 dry season. The objective of the study was to estimate heritability, phenotypic coefficient of variation, genotypic coefficient of variation and environmental coefficient of variation. The treatments were laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. After harvesting, the genotypes were stored for five months under farmers practice. The analysis of the results indicated significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) difference between the genotypes with respect to plant height, number of leaves per plant, leaf area, leaf area index, percentage bolting, days to maturity, bulb diameter, bulb height, average bulb weight, fresh bulb yield, cured bulb yield, and percentage weight loss after five months of storage. High phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation were observed. However, cured bulb weight recorded the highest values for both phenotypic (176.57 %) and genotypic coefficients of variation (167.67 %) followed by percentage bolting with 65.51 and 56.58 % respectively. Days to maturity and plant height on the other hand recorded the lowest phenotypic coefficient of variation of (11.64 and 12.79 % respectively) as well as genotypic coefficient of variation of 11.43 and 9.18 % respectively. Percentage loss had the highest heritability (98.01%) while leaf area index had the lowest heritability of 14.11%. At the end of the research it was concluded that all the characters were highly heritable with the exception of leaf area index.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) belongs to the family Alliaceae, other members include shallot (*A. cepa* L. var. *aggregation* G. Don.), common garlic (*A. sativum* L.), leek (*A. ampeloprasum* L. var. *porrum* L.) and chive (*A. schoenoprasum* L.) [1]. It originated from tropical central or western Asia and has been cultivated for a long period of time [2]. The cultivated onion is grown under a wide range of climates from temperate to tropical, it is the most important member of the family Alliaceae with monocotyledonous and cross pollinating behaviour. It has diploid chromosome number 16 ( $2n = 16$ ) [3]. Onion is a biennial vegetable crop; its economic yield is bulb. Bulb formation is complicated and environmental factors such day length, temperature, moisture, soil type, fertilization, pests and diseases affect its yield. Onion cultivars do not always perform in the same way year in year out and environmental factors

37 strongly affect the development of onion cultivars [4]. The total world production of onions  
38 in 2016 was 5,725,132 tons, out of which 1,912,077 tons were obtained from Africa,  
39 1,482,734 tons from West Africa and 2247,475 tons from Nigeria. These tonnage were  
40 obtained from 253,661 ha, 94,094 ha. 64,094 ha and 15,339 ha with average yield of 231.3  
41 kg/ha globally, 203.2 kg/ha in Africa, 225.7 kg/ha and 161.3 kg/ha for West Africa and  
42 Nigeria respectively [5]. Onion is valued for its distinct pungent flavour and its essential  
43 ingredients cuisine. It is consumed round the year by all the sections of people through-out  
44 the world due to its healing properties in case of cardiac diseases, rheumatism, cancer,  
45 digestive disorders, blood sugar and prolong cough [6]. Onions are used both as foods and as  
46 seasoning; the immature bulbs are eaten raw or cooked and eaten as vegetable [7]. Onion  
47 contains a phytochemical called Quercetin, which is effective in reducing cardiovascular  
48 diseases [8]. Heritability is defined as the proportion of the observed total variability that is  
49 genetic, its estimates from variance component gives more useful information of genetic  
50 variation from the total phenotypic differences on individuals or families [9]. The objective of  
51 the study was to estimate heritability for enhanced storage shelf life and earliness in Onions.

## 52 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

53 The experiment was conducted at *Fadama* Teaching and Research farm of Usmanu  
54 Danfodiyo University, Sokoto (Lat 13° 06' 28" N and Long 05° 12' 46" E) during the  
55 2015/2016 onion season (October 2015 – April 2016). The climate is semiarid with a zone  
56 of savannah-type vegetation as part of the sub-Saharan Sudan belt of West Africa. falls in  
57 Sudan Savanna agro-ecological zone. The rainfall starts mostly in June and ends in  
58 October with a mean annual rainfall of about 350 - 700 mm. The temperature of Sokoto  
59 ranges from 40 to 15°C [10].

60 The experiment consists of 12 parents (Table 1) and 25 hybrids (Table 2) making 37 Onion  
61 genotypes. Seeds of the genotypes were raised in the nursery where the soil was thoroughly

62 mixed with farm yard manure at the rate of 5.5 t/ha. A sunken bed of 3.5m × 3m was  
 63 constructed, divided into 37 segments and irrigated for two days. Seeds of the genotypes  
 64 were broadcasted in each segment and covered with millet stalk. The bed was irrigated daily  
 65 and the stalks removed gradually after one week. The seedlings were then watered in the  
 66 evening daily for ten days, then at three days' interval. The seedlings were allowed to grow  
 67 for seven weeks and then transplanted. The land of the study experimental area was cleared  
 68 off vegetation, ploughed and harrowed. The physical and chemical properties of the site were  
 69 also determined before planting (Table 3).

70 **Table 1: List of parents and their designations**

S/N	Parent	Designation	S/N	Parent	Designation
1	Koriya Tounfafi Niger Republic	A	7	Yar Wurno	G
2	Yar Aka Aliero	B	8	Jar Albasa Illela	H
3	Yaska	C	9	Yar Tungar Tudu	I
4	Tasa	D	10	Jar Albasa Gwaranyo	J
5	Marsa	E	11	Kiba Gwaranyo	K
6	Yar Gigane	F	12	Yar Dawakin Kudu	L

71 S/N= Serial Number

72 **Table 2: List of 25 hybrids**

73

S/N	Gen	S/N	Gen
1	A × C	14	D × H
2	A × F	15	D × J
3	A × L	16	E × F
4	B × E	17	E × H
5	B × K	18	E × I
6	C × E	19	E × K
7	C × F	20	F × J
8	C × G	21	F × L
9	C × H	22	G × K
10	C × I	23	G × L
11	C × J	24	H × L
12	C × K	25	K × L
13	D × G		

74 S/N= Serial Number and Gen= Genotype

75

76

77 **Table 3: Physical and chemical properties of soil of the experimental site at**  
 78 **kwalkwalawa village sokoto.**

Parameters	0 – 15cm	15 – 30cm
Soil physical properties:		
Particle size distribution		
Sand (g/kg)	704	351
Silt (g/kg)	292	398
Clay (g/kg)	4	251
Ph	4.5	5.4
Soil chemical properties:		
Organic carbon (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	10.6	10.2
Organic matter (g/kg)	18.3	17.6
N (g/kg)	0.84	0.42
P (g/kg)	1.04	0.94
Ca (mol/kg)	0.50	0.35
Mg (mol/kg)	0.20	0.15
K (mol/kg)	1.03	0.97
Na (mol/kg)	1.00	0.87
CEC (mol/kg)	6.36	5.06

79  
 80 The seedlings were laid out in a randomized complete block design with one row per  
 81 treatment replicated three time. N.P.K; 15:15:15 was applied at 30kg N/ha, 30kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha and  
 82 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha as a basal application and subsequently top dressed with 30 kg N/ha using urea  
 83 at 3 WAT. Seedlings were planted at a spacing of 15cm × 20cm. Irrigation was at two days  
 84 after planting and thereafter at five days' interval. The first and second weeding were done at  
 85 4<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> week after transplanting (WAT). Data was collected on plant height (cm), number  
 86 of leaves/plant, leaf area (cm<sub>2</sub>), leaf area index, bolting percentage (%), days to maturity, bulb  
 87 diameter (cm), bulb height (cm), fresh bulb weight (t/ha), cured bulb weight (t/ha) and  
 88 percentage loss. After harvesting the cured bulbs were stored for five months, between the  
 89 months of April and August. The climate is semiarid with a zone of savannah-type  
 90 vegetation as part of the sub-Saharan Sudan belt of West Africa. falls in Sudan Savanna  
 91 agro-ecological zone. Data collected ware analyzed using Genstat 17<sup>th</sup> edition.

92 Broad sense heritability was estimated using the formulae described by Fehr (1987).

93  $h^2 = \frac{\delta_g^2}{\delta_{ph}^2} \times 100$

94  $GCV = \frac{\delta_g^2}{x} \times 100$

95  $PCV = \frac{\delta_{ph}^2}{x} \times 100$

96  $ECV = PCV - GCV \times 100$

97 Where:

98 GCV = Genotypic coefficient of variation

99 PCV = Phenotypic coefficient of variation

100 ECV= Environmental coefficient of variation

101  $\delta_g^2$  = Genotypic coefficient of variation

102  $\delta_{ph}^2$  = Phenotypic variance

103 x = Grand mean

104

### 105 **3. RESULTS**

106 The highest phenotypic variance and genotypic variances were observed in leaf area (880.16  
107 and 453.70 respectively) followed by Bolting percentage (258.46 and 192.77). High  
108 phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation were observed. However, cured bulb  
109 weight had the highest values for both phenotypic (176.57 %) and genotypic (167.67 %)  
110 coefficients of variation followed by percentage bolting having 65.51 and 56.58 %  
111 respectively. Days to maturity and plant height on the other hand recorded the lowest

112 phenotypic coefficient of variation of 11.64 and 12.79 %; respectively as well as genotypic  
 113 coefficient of variation of 11.43 and 9.18 %; respectively (Table 4). The highest broad sense  
 114 heritability was observed in percentage loss (98.01%) followed by days to maturity with  
 115 96.39%. Leaf area index on the other hand had the lowest heritability of 14.11% (Table 4).

116 **Table 4: Phenotypic variance (PVR), Genotypic variance (GV), Broad sense heritability**  
 117 **(BSH), Phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), Genotypic coefficient of variation**  
 118 **(GCV) and Environmental coefficient of variation (ECV) estimates for growth and yield**  
 119 **characters**

Traits	PVR	GVR	PCV (%)	GCV (%)	ECV (%)	BSH (%)
Plant height (cm)	39.68	20.45	12.79	9.18	3.61	51.54
Number of leaves per plant	4.90	2.64	21.19	15.58	5.62	54.02
Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	880.17	453.70	23.45	16.84	6.61	51.55
Leaf area index	0.88	0.12	48.89	18.37	30.52	14.11
Bolting percentage (%)	258.46	192.77	65.51	56.58	8.93	74.59
Days to maturity	173.39	167.14	11.64	11.43	0.21	96.39
Bulb diameter (cm)	1.21	0.68	16.39	12.29	4.10	56.21
Bulb length	1.21	0.72	19.48	15.02	4.47	59.40
Cured bulb weight (cm)	99.18	89.43	176.57	167.67	8.90	90.17
Average bulb weight (kg)	0.004	0.004	40.85	38.57	2.29	89.12
Fresh bulb yield (kg/ha)	108.53	96.72	40.85	38.57	2.29	89.12
Percentage weight loss (%)	181.30	177.70	30.12	29.82	0.30	98.01

121  
 122  
 123

#### 4. DISCUSSION

124 The values for phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) were higher than the genotypic  
 125 coefficient of variation (GCV) values for all the traits (i.e positive environmental coefficient  
 126 of variation (ECV)) which indicates the environmental role in trait expression. Higher PCV  
 127 values than the GCV values have been reported by Khosa and Dhatt [11]. Deshmukh *et al.*  
 128 [12] suggested that PCV and GCV values greater than 20% are regarded as high, values  
 129 between 10% to 20% as medium, whereas values less than 10% are considered to be low.

130 Bolting percentage, cured bulb weight, average bulb weight, fresh bulb weight, and  
131 percentage weight loss after five months recorded high PCV and GCV. Number of leaves per  
132 plant, leaf area and leaf area index on recorded high PCV and moderate GCV. Plant height  
133 recorded moderate PCV and low GCV. None of the characters had low PCV and low GCV.  
134 Genotypic coefficient of variance provides information about the genetic variability in the  
135 quantitative traits but it does not give any estimation about what amount of variation was  
136 heritable from the genotypic coefficient of variation [13].

137

138 High heritability (Broad sense) estimates for traits such as percentage loss, fresh bulb weight,  
139 average bulb weight, cured bulb weight, days to maturity and bulb length indicated that they  
140 can easily be selected for, which enhances the possibility of their breeding.

141

142 According to Puri *et al.* [14], if estimate of broad-sense heritability of a particular trait is  
143 high, it indicates that environmental conditions have little impact on the phenotypic  
144 differences observed in the population. Those traits that had low heritability would not  
145 respond to selection easily, Obilana and Fakorede [15] reported that, if a character is  
146 influenced by environment, its heritability would be low in a population. Therefore, the low  
147 heritability observed in leaf area index indicates that the characters is highly influenced by  
148 the environment.

149

## 150 **5. CONCLUSION**

151

152 All the characters can easily be selected for cultivar development program with the exception  
153 of leaf area index. Therefore, the results of these experiment indicated that the parents used in  
154 this experiment can be used in Onion breeding programs, that involves improvement of any

155 of the characters considered, more especially, storability (percentage loss) and earliness (days  
156 to maturity).

## 157 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

158 We wish to acknowledge with thanks Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria for a  
159 research grant and and Kebbi state University of Science and technology, Aliero, Nigeria for  
160 a TETFund research grant which facilitated the research.

## 161 **REFERENCES**

- 162 1. Griffiths G, Trueman L, Crowther T, Thomas B, Smith B. Onions A Global Benefit to  
163 Health. *Phytother. Res.* 2002;16:603-615.
- 164 2. Lonzotti V. The analysis of onion and garlic. *Chromatography.* 2006;112:3-22.
- 165 3. Khokhar KM. Flowering and seed development in onion—A review. *Open Access*  
166 *Library Journal.* 2014;1:el 049.  
167 Available:<http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1101049>
- 168 4. Seyede MTS, Mohsen K, Davoud H, Vahid A, Pezhman M. Effectsof storage  
169 conditions on losses rate and some quality traits of six Iranian onion genotypes in karaj  
170 region, Iran. *International journal of Agronomy and Plant Production.* 2013;1:151-156.
- 171 5. FAOSTAT. FAOSTAT Database Results; 2013.  
172 Available:<http://www.faostat.org>
- 173 6. Singh SR, Ahmed N, Lai S, Ganie SA, Mudasir A, Nusrat J, Asima A. Determination  
174 of genetic diversity in onion (*Allium cepa* L.) by multivariate analysis under  
175 long day conditions. *African Journal of Agricultural Research.* 2013;8(45):5599-5606.
- 176 7. Abubakar L, Ado SG. Variability pattern for resistance to purple blotch (*Alternaria*  
177 *porri*) disease of onions (*Allium cepa* L.) in north western Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of*  
178 *Basic and Applied Science.* 2013;21(2):109-115.
- 179 8. Smith C. Genetic analysis of Quercetin in Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) 'Laddy Raider'. *The*  
180 *Texas Journal of Agriculture and Natural Resource.* 2003;16:24-28.
- 181 9. Abubakar L, Sokoto, Mohammed BM, Naallah IU, Muhammad A, Garba AN, Bubuche  
182 TS. Correlation studies and heritability estimates among Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) of  
183 North Western Nigeria. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biosystems*  
184 *Engineering.* 2016;3(1).
- 185 10. Arnborg T. Where savannah turns into desert. *International rural development center.*  
186 *Swedish University of Agriculture Sciences Rural Development Studies;* 1988.
- 187 11. Khosa JS, Dhatt AS. Studies on genetic variability and heritability in bulb onion  
188 (*Allium cepa* L.) in North-Western plains of India. *Journal of Horticultural Science.*  
189 2013;8(2):255–8.
- 190 12. Deshmukh SN, Basu MS, Reddy PS. Genetic variability, character association and path  
191 analysis of quantitative traits in Virginia bunch varieties of ground nut. *Indian Journal*  
192 *of Agriculture Sciences.* 1986;56:816–21.
- 193 13. Ravindra D, Amrender K, Anil K. Genetic variability, heritability, and diversity  
194 analysis in short day tropical onion (*Allium cepa*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural*  
195 *Sciences.* 2018;88(6):948–57. June 2018/Article.
- 196 14. Puri YP, Qualset CO, Williams WA. Evaluation of yield components as selection  
197 criteria in barley breeding. *Crop Science.* 1982;22:927-931.
- 198



199 15. Obilana AT, Fakorede MAB. Heritability: A Treatise. Samaru Journal. Agric. Research.  
200 1986;1:72-82.  
201  
202

