



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_46780
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of grain yield of two varieties of Cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>) subjected to four agricultural practices in Gùrué District, Mozambique
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Overall manuscript is written well but some compulsory and some general comments are as under:</p> <p>Abstract: Line 7: on Line 8: tested Line 12-14: remove all</p> <p>Introduction: Line 36: moisture Line 47: recheck the data</p> <p>Methods and Materials: There is no need to incorporate fig 2 in research paper because as per the requirement of research paper it not compulsory to add the lay-out of the experiment in research paper. Year of experimentation is obligatory in manuscript. Line 60-61: add the symbol of degree</p> <p>Result and Discussion: Line 98-99: change in sentence case Line 108: and IT18, respectively. Line 111-112: change in sentence case Line 121: change in sentence case Line 158: ¹ Line 161: kg ha⁻¹</p> <p>Table 5: Seed yield of variety IT-16 with Zero tillage + Mulch is highly variable and same with variety IT-18 under Zero tillage practices. Recheck the data and same may not be observed a huge difference in yield while growth characters are not showing the same pattern.</p> <p>Conclusion: After rechecking the result conclusion also the same with the results. It is well known fact that varieties have different yield potential on the basis of their genetic makeup.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Topic: Evaluation of growth and yield of Cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculate</i>) with agricultural practices in Gùrué District, Mozambique	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Virendra Singh
Department, University & Country	IFTM University, India