



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_46780
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of grain yield of two varieties of Cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>) subjected to four agricultural practices in Gùrué District, Mozambique
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is accepted with minor changes. The experiment has statistical support and the parameters evaluated were adequate. However, is necessary add some information. By other side some corrections were done in each section.</p> <p>Title: Is ok</p> <p>Abstract: Is ok, little corrections were done in the manuscript (check)</p> <p>Introduction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Add the economic importance (annual production, yield and production value of cowpea production in Mozambique). 2. Some corrections were done in the manuscript (check). <p>Materials and methods:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Write latitude and longitude where the experiment was conducted. 2. In this type of experiment is necessary to describe the main chemical and physical characteristics of the soil. 3. Add the main characteristics agronomic of IT-18 and IT-16 cowpea varieties. 4. Some corrections were done in the manuscript (check). <p>Results and discussion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Tables are clear but the writing in this section must be improved. 2. Some corrections were done in the manuscript (check). <p>References:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The reference MAE, 2005 is mentioned in materials and methods section but is missing inside reference list. 2. Write the references in accordance with the rules of this journal. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raúl Leonel Grijalva-Contreras
Department, University & Country	Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales Agrícolas y Pecuarias, Mexico