



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_49110
Title of the Manuscript:	General considerations on antimicrobial tests and conditions
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript aims to describe resistance to antimicrobial agents. However, there is no clear organisation in the manuscript. Author(s) need to re-organise the manuscript for easy comprehension especially by non-science based would-be readers. Furthermore, while re organising the manuscript, author(s) need to include recent published articles on this topic. The recent article in this manuscript was published in 2009 (ten years ago, certainly, things may have change a bit between that time and now). Lastly, there are grammatical issues in the manuscript, particularly use of lengthy sentences.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Innocent Damudu Peter
Department, University & Country	University of Maiduguri, Nigeria