



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_49060
Title of the Manuscript:	Seroprevalence of T. gondii and HIV-1 co-infection among pregnant women in Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Authors have to think and complete in their article this subject:</p> <p>"The diagnosis of reactivated T. gondii infection during pregnancy has been the subject of much controversy. Recently in many studies has shown higher anti-T. gondii IgG levels in HIV-1-infected than in non-HIV-1-infected pregnant women. These results suggest that the increase in antibody titers could be the result of <b>polyclonal activation of B-lymphocytes</b>, which are not parasitespecific. Therefore, the interpretation of such Ig titers is not necessarily indicative of a reactivated infection, as proposed by other authors".</p> <p>In the present article authors uses as synonyms <b>positive seroprevalence</b> and <b>infection</b> and they are not the same many times. So, my suggestion is that authors have to explain this situation of policlonal activation because many of the women included in this work were not infected despite the high antibodies. I also recommend that authors includes a table or graphic with the more frequent symptoms presented in the women studied</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nélida Virginia Gómez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Buenos Aires, Argentina</b>