



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_49060
Title of the Manuscript:	Seroprevalence of T. gondii and HIV-1 co-infection among pregnant women in Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The method of detection of HIV should also be mentioned as the method of detection of Toxoplasma gondii has been described <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In all the tables, under each demographic characteristic the particular variant is mentioned as a sub percentage of each demographic variable, this is not representing the true variation within each class, please revise and improvise - The authors have not discussed much about the significance of the odds ratio obtained at various levels <p>Title</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The paper mainly deals with the variation of HIV, Toxoplasma and their co-infection in different socio-economic and cultural variations and settings. This maybe incorporated in the title 	
Minor REVISION comments	A few spelling and grammatical mistakes need to be corrected	
Optional/General comments	The authors could have compared the severity of HIV infection with Toxoplasma titres , which could have established the correlation between them.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Ismail Khan
Department, University & Country	ESIC Medical College, India