



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_48985
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the alternative livelihood options for climate change vulnerable coastal fishing villages in kerala
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/sdi-general-editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The paper is not comprehensive in terms of explaining the methodology. Details on the full process should be explained in detail. What is the sampling method? Random sampling or convenient sampling? Have you interviewed 1259 people? 2. Why do you compare Poonthura and Elamkunnappuzha villages? The title mentions about villages in Kerala. Why only these two? 3. Title starts with "assessing". Where is assessment part? 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The paper contains many syntactical and grammatical errors. The texts should be improved with proofreading. (Line 3, kerala; You should use capital letters here., line 7, line 29, 14.5 or 14.50 millions?, etc.) 2. Line 104; Do you use British or American English? 3. Line 32; 5, 30,000 this number is not clear. 4. Line 112; Please do not place full stop before references. 5. Line 115; Why did you use capital letters. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Atila Akbaba
Department, University & Country	İzmir Katip Çelebi University, Turkey