



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_49331
Title of the Manuscript:	Preliminary Phytochemical Screening and Thin Layer Chromatography Analysis of Stem Bark Extracts of African Mistletoe Parasitic on <i>Vitellaria paradoxa</i>, <i>Pilostigma thonningii</i> and <i>Combretum fragrans</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Please revise abstract of this manuscript by providing 1-2 sentences about the importance of African Mistletoe in health.</p> <p>Please also use the full name of Hex, EA, MeOH at the first time in abstraction section.</p> <p>2. Introduction section: Please provide more reference. There are only 2 references in introduction section. For example, in a paragraph "Mistletoes are highly utilized in traditional medicine to treat different kinds of diseases such as heart diseeseases and diabetes etc....." , please give references.</p> <p>3. Results section: The authors reported that "Mistletoe stem were harvested from <i>Vitellaria paradoxa</i>, <i>Pilostigma thonningii</i> and <i>Combretum fragrans</i>"</p> <p>3.1 Did the authors perform extraction (with the same solvent for Mistletoe extraction) phytochemical screening and TLC for <i>Vitellaria paradoxa</i>, <i>Pilostigma thonningii</i> and <i>Combretum fragrans</i> ? This will help comparing the phytochemical compounds among these specimens.</p> <p>3.2 TLC Figure should be improved to make it clear with the good label. Please do not use a pencil to lebel. Do you have some standard compounds to run in TLC plate?</p> <p>4. Discussion section: Please also give the references in this section. Most of references in this manuscript are found in method section and only 2 references in introduction. Literature review is important for research.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Please check and correct spelling and English grammar.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patcharee Boonsiri
Department, University & Country	Khon Kaen University, Thailand