



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_49331
Title of the Manuscript:	Preliminary Phytochemical Screening and Thin Layer Chromatography Analysis of Stem Bark Extracts of African Mistletoe Parasitic on <i>Vitellaria paradoxa</i> , <i>Pilostigma thonningii</i> and <i>Combretum fragrans</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	2.2. There should be rather "was extracted by" instead "was extraction". 2.3.7. Extract was heated, could you write at what temperature. Figure 1. Could you give a better image, because in ethyl acetate extract there is no spots. Results: Last sentence: Maybe it will be better add information that experiences of Tabe were made on leaf.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Iwona Rybakowska</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Medical University of Gdansk, Poland</b>