

# ESTIMATES OF HERITABILITY FOR ENHANCED STORAGE SHELF LIFE AND EARLY MATURITY IN ONIONS (*Allium cepa* L.)

## Abstract

Thirty-seven Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) genotypes comprising of twelve parents (12) and twenty-five hybrids were evaluated at the *Fadama* Teaching and Research farm of the Department of Crop Science D, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto during the 2015/2016 dry season. The objective of the study was to estimate heritability, phenotypic coefficient of variation, genotypic coefficient variation and error coefficient of variation. The treatments were laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. After harvesting, the genotypes were stored for five months under farmers practice. The analysis of the results indicated significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) difference between the genotypes with respect to plant height, number of leaves per plant, leaf area, leaf area index, percentage bolting, days to maturity, bulb diameter, bulb height, average bulb weight, fresh bulb yield, cured bulb yield, and percentage loss after five months of storage. High phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation were observed, however cured bulb weight the highest values for both phenotypic (176.57 %) and genotypic coefficients of variation (167.67 %) followed by percentage bolting of 65.51 and 56.58 % respectively. Days to maturity and plant height on the other hand recorded the lowest phenotypic coefficient of variation of (11.64 and 12.79 % respectively) as well as genotypic coefficient of variation of (11.43 and 9.18 % respectively). Percentage loss had the highest heritability (98.01%) while leaf area index had the lowest heritability of 14.11%. At the end of the research it was concluded that all the characters were highly heritable with the exception of leaf area index.

## INTRODUCTION

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) belongs to the family Alliaceae, other members include shallot (*A. cepa* L. var. *aggregation* G. Don.), common garlic (*A. sativum* L.), leek (*A. ampeloprasum* L. var. *porrum* L.) and chive (*A. schoenoprasum* L.) (Griffiths *et al.*, 2002). It originated from tropical central or western Asia and has been cultivated for a long period of time (Lonzotti, 2006). The cultivated onion is grown under a wide range of climates from temperate to tropical, it is the most important member of the family Alliaceae with monocotyledonous and cross pollinating behaviour. It has diploid chromosome number 16 ( $2n = 16$ ) (Khokhar, 2014). Onion is a biennial vegetable crop, its economic yield is bulb. Bulb formation is complicated and environmental factors such day length, temperature, moisture, soil type, fertilization, pests and diseases affect its yield. Onion cultivars do not always perform in the

37 same way year in year out and environmental factors strongly affect the development of  
38 onion cultivars (Seyede *et al.*, 2013). The total world production of onions in 2013 was  
39 4,281,501 tons, out of which 648,247 tons were obtained from Africa, 267,164 tons from  
40 West Africa and 235,000 tons from Nigeria. These tonnage were obtained from 230,180 ha,  
41 46,469 ha. 16,221 ha and 14,000 ha with average yield of 18,600.8 kg/ha globally, 13,950.1  
42 kg/ha in West Africa, 16,470.3 kg/ha and 16,785.7 kg/ha for Nigeria (FAOSTAT, 2013).  
43 Onion is valued for its distinct pungent flavour and its essential ingredients cuisine. It is  
44 consumed round the year by all the sections of people through-out the world due to its  
45 healing properties in case of cardiac diseases, rheumatism, cancer, digestive disorders, blood  
46 sugar and prolong cough (Singh *et al.*, 2013). Onions are used both as foods and as  
47 seasoning; the immature bulbs are eaten raw or cooked and eaten as vegetable (Abubakar and  
48 Ado, 2013). Onion contains a phytochemical called Quercetin, which is effective in reducing  
49 cardiovascular diseases (Smith, 2003). Heritability is defined as the proportion of the  
50 observed total variability that is genetic, its estimates from variance component gives more  
51 useful information of genetic variation from the total phenotypic differences on individuals or  
52 families (Abubakar *et al.*, 2016). The objective of the study was to estimate heritability for  
53 enhance storage shelf life and earliness in Onions.

#### 54 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

55 The experiment was conducted at *Fadama* Teaching and Research farm of Usmanu  
56 Danfodiyo University, Sokoto (Lat 13° 06' 28" N and Long 05° 12' 46" E) during the  
57 2015/2016 onion season (October 2015 – April 2016). The climate is semiarid with a zone  
58 of savannah-type vegetation as part of the sub-Saharan Sudan belt of West Africa. falls in  
59 Sudan Savanna agro-ecological zone. The rainfall starts mostly in June and ends in  
60 October with a mean annual rainfall of about 350 - 700 mm. The temperature of Sokoto  
61 ranges from 40 to 15°C (Arnborg, 1988).

62 The experiment consists of 12 parents (Table 1) and 25 hybrids (Table 2) making 37 Onion  
 63 genotypes. Seeds of the genotypes were raised in the nursery where the soil was thoroughly  
 64 mixed with farm yard manure at the rate of 5.5 t/ha. A sunken bed of 3.5m × 3m was  
 65 constructed, divided into 37 segments and irrigated for two days. seeds of the genotypes  
 66 were broadcasted in each segment and covered with millet stalk. The bed was irrigated daily  
 67 and the stalks removed gradually after one week. The seedlings were then watered in the  
 68 evening daily for ten days, then at three days' interval. The seedlings were allowed to grow  
 69 for seven weeks and then transplanted. The land of the study experimental area was cleared  
 70 off vegetation, ploughed and harrowed. the physical and chemical properties of the site was  
 71 also determined before planting (Table 3)

72 Table 1: List of parents and their designations

S/N	Parent	Designation	S/N	Parent	Designation
1	Koriya Tounfafi Niger Republic	A	7	G	Yar Wurno
2	Yar Aka Aliero	B	8	H	Jar Albasa Illela
3	Yaska	C	9	I	Yar Tungar Tudu
4	Tasa	D	10	J	Jar Albasa Gwaranyo
5	Marsa	E	11	K	Kiba Gwaranyo
6	Yar Gigane	F	12	L	Yar Dawakin Kudu

73 S/N= Serial Number

74 Table 2: List of the 25 genotypes comprising of the parents and their hybrids

S/N	Gen	S/N	Gen
1	A × C	14	D × H
2	A × F	15	D × J
3	A × L	16	E × F
4	B × E	17	E × H
5	B × K	18	E × I
6	C × E	19	E × K
7	C × F	20	F × J
8	C × G	21	F × L
9	C × H	22	G × K
10	C × I	23	G × L

11	C × J	24	H × L
12	C × K	25	K × L
13	D × G		

75 S/N= Serial Number and Gen= Genotype

76 Table 3: Physical and chemical properties of soil of the experimental site at kwalkwalawa

77 village sokoto

Parameters	0 – 15cm	15 – 30cm
Particle size distribution		
Sand (g/kg)	704	351
Silt (g/kg)	292	398
Clay (g/kg)	4	251
Ph	4.5	5.4
Organic carbon (g/kg)	10.6	10.2
Organic matter (g/kg)	18.3	17.6
Nitrogen (g/kg)	0.84	0.42
Phosphorous (g/kg)	1.04	0.94
Calcium (mol/kg)	0.50	0.35
Magnesium (mol/kg)	0.20	0.15
Potassium (mol/kg)	1.03	0.97
Sodium (mol/kg)	1.00	0.87
CEC (mol/kg)	6.36	5.06

78

79 The seedlings were laid out in a randomized complete block design with one row per  
 80 treatment replicated three time. N.P.K15:15:15 was applied at 30kg N/ha, 30kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha and  
 81 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha as a basal application and subsequently top dressed with 30 kg N/ha using urea  
 82 at 3 WAT. Seedlings were planted at a spacing of 15cm × 20cm. Irrigation was at two days  
 83 after planting and thereafter at five days' interval. The first and second weeding were done at  
 84 4<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> week after transplanting (WAT). Data was collected on plant height (cm), number  
 85 of leaves/plant, leaf area (cm<sub>2</sub>), leaf area index, bolting percentage (%), days to maturity, bulb  
 86 diameter (cm), bulb height (cm), fresh bulb weight (t/ha), cured bulb weight (t/ha) and  
 87 percentage loss. After harvesting the cured bulbs were stored for five months, between the  
 88 months of April and August. The climate is semiarid with a zone of savannah-type  
 89 vegetation as part of the sub-Saharan Sudan belt of West Africa. falls in Sudan Savanna  
 90 agro-ecological zone. Data collected ware analyzed using Genstat 17<sup>th</sup> edition.

91 Broad sense heritability was estimated using the formulae described by Fehr (1987).

92 
$$h^2 = \frac{\delta_g^2}{\delta_{ph}^2} \times 100$$

93 
$$GCV = \frac{\delta_g^2}{x} \times 100$$

94 
$$PCV = \frac{\delta_{ph}^2}{x} \times 100$$

95 
$$ECV = PCV - GCV \times 100$$

96 Where:

97 GCV = Genotypic coefficient of variation

98 PCV = Phenotypic coefficient of variation

99 ECV= Error coefficient of variation

100  $\delta_g^2$  = Genotypic coefficient of variation

101  $\delta_{ph}^2$  = Phenotypic variance

102 x = Grand mean

## 103 **RESULTS**

104 High phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation were observed, however cured bulb  
105 weight had the highest values for both phenotypic (176.57 %) and genotypic coefficients of  
106 variation (167.67 %) followed by percentage bolting of 65.51 and 56.58 % respectively. Days  
107 to maturity and plant height on the other hand recorded the lowest phenotypic coefficient of  
108 variation of (11.64 and 12.79 % respectively) as well as genotypic coefficient of variation of  
109 (11.43 and 9.18 % respectively) (Table 4).

110 Table 4: Phenotypic Variance, Genotypic Variance, Broad Sense Heritability, Phenotypic  
 111 Coefficient of Variation, Genotypic Coefficient of Variation and Error Coefficient of  
 112 Variation estimates for growth and yield characters

Traits	PVR	GVR	PCV (%)	GCV (%)	ECV (%)	BSH (%)
Plant Height	39.6767	20.4500	12.79	9.18	3.61	51.54
Leave Number	4.8947	2.6440	21.19	15.58	5.62	54.02
Leaf Area	880.1667	453.7000	23.45	16.84	6.61	51.55
Leaf Area Index	0.8810	0.1243	48.89	18.37	30.52	14.11
Percentage Loss	258.4600	192.7733	65.51	56.58	8.93	74.59
Days to Maturity	173.3947	167.1420	11.64	11.43	0.21	96.39
Bulb Diameter	1.2080	0.6790	16.39	12.29	4.10	56.21
Bulb Length	1.2074	0.7172	19.48	15.02	4.47	59.40
Cured Bulb Weight	99.1767	89.4300	176.57	167.67	8.90	90.17
Average Bulb Weight	0.0039	0.0035	40.85	38.57	2.29	89.12
Yield	108.5300	96.7167	40.85	38.57	2.29	89.12
Percentage Loss	181.3013	177.6953	30.12	29.82	0.30	98.01

113 Note: PVR = Phenotypic variance, GVR = Genotypic variance, PCV = Phenotypic  
 114 Coefficient of variance, GCV = Genotypic coefficient of variance, ECV = Error coefficient of  
 115 variance and BSH = Broad sense heritability  
 116

## 117 **DISCUSSION**

118 High broad sense heritability (Broad sense) estimates for traits such as percentage loss, fresh  
 119 bulb weight, average bulb weight, cured bulb weight, days to maturity and bulb length  
 120 indicated that they can easily be selected for, which enhances the possibility of their breeding.  
 121 According to Puri *et al.* (1982), if estimate of broad-sense heritability of a particular trait is  
 122 high, it indicates that environmental conditions have little impact on the phenotypic  
 123 differences observed in the population. Those traits that had low heritability would not  
 124 respond to selection easily, Obilana and Fakorede (1986) reported that, if a character is  
 125 influenced by environment, its heritability would be low in a population.

126

127

128

129 **CONCLUSION**

130 All the characters measured can easily be selected for in cultivar development program with

131 the exception of leaf area index.

132 **REFERENCES**

- 133 Abubakar, L. and Ado, S.G. (2013). Variability pattern for resistance to purple blotch  
134 (*Alternaria porri*) disease of onions (*Allium cepa* L.) in north western Nigeria. *Nigerian*  
135 *Journal of Basic and Applied Science*, 21(2): 109-115.
- 136  
137 Abubakar, L., Sokoto, Mohammed B. M., Naallah I. U., Muhammad A., Garba A. N. and  
138 Bubuche T. S. (2016). Correlation studies and heritability estimates among Onion  
139 (*Allium cepa* L.) of North Western Nigeria. *International Journal of Agriculture and*  
140 *Biosystems Engineering*. 3 (1)
- 141 Arnborg, T. (1988) Where savannah turns into desert. International rural development center.  
142 Swedish University of Agriculture Sciences Rural Development Studies.  
143
- 144 FAOSTAT (2013). FAOSTAT Database Results.<http://www.faostat.org>
- 145 Griffiths G., Trueman L., Crowther T., Thomas B., Smith B. (2002). Onions A Global Benefit  
146 to Health. *Phytother. Res.* 16: 603-615
- 147 Khokhar, K.M. (2014). Flowering and seed development in onion—A review. *Open Access*  
148 *Library Journal*, 1: e1 049. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1101049>
- 149 Lonzotti V (2006). The analysis of onion and garlic. *Chromatography*, 112: 3-22.  
150
- 151 Obilana, A.T. and Fakorede, M.A.B. (1986). Heritability: A Treatise. *Samaru Journal. Agric.*  
152 *Research*. 1: 72-82.
- 153 Puri, Y. P., C. O. Qualset and W. A. Williams (1982). Evaluation of yield components as  
154 selection criteria in barley breeding. *Crop Science*, 22: 927-931
- 155 Seyede, M.T.S, Mohsen, K., Davoud, H., Vahid, A. and Pezhman, M. (2013). Effectsof  
156 storage conditions on losses rate and some quality traits of six Iranian onion genotypes  
157 in karaj region, iran. *International journal of Agronomy and Plant Production*, (1),  
158 151-156.
- 159 Singh, S.R., Ahmed, N., Lai, S., Ganie, S.A., Mudasir, A., Nusrat, J. and Asima, A. (2013).  
160 Determination of genetic diversity in onion (*Allium cepa* L.) by  
161 multivariate analysis under long day conditions. *African Journal of Agricultural*  
162 *Research*. 8 (45), 5599-5606.
- 163 Smith, C. (2003). Genetic Analysis of Quercetin in Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) 'Laddy  
164 Raider'. *The Texas Journal of Agriculture and Natural Resource*, 16: 24 -28.