



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_48123
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of storage temperature and preservatives on the stability and quality of Polyscias fruticosa(L.) Harms herbal health drinks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Introduction – Cited too old references. Include few new references (recent 5 years) in the introduction part.</li> <li>2. Materials and methods – How the quantities for the composition of formulations has been decided? Authors supposed to be clarified this in the manuscript.</li> <li>3. Plant name was given in a mixed form (<i>Polyscias fruticose</i> &amp; <i>P. fruticose</i> &amp; <i>Polysciasfruticose</i>) throughout the manuscript. Need to be standardized.</li> <li>4. References - Not in uniform format (Eg. 7 &amp; 8). There is a difference in the format from one to another reference. Also many old references.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Mahendran Sekar</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Universiti Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia</i></b>